

TRINA A. HIGGINS, United States Attorney (#7349)
RICHARD M. ROLWING, Special Assistant United States Attorney (OH #62368)
JOHN E. SULLIVAN, Senior Litigation Counsel, Tax Division (WI #1018849)
CY H. CASTLE, Assistant United States Attorney (#4808)
Attorneys for the United States of America
111 South Main Street, #1800 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 524-5682
Email: richard.m.rolwing@usdoj.gov| john.e.sullivan@usdoj.gov| cy.castle@usdoj.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	Case No. 2:21-CR-140-JNP
Plaintiff,	:	UNITED STATES' POSITION
	:	REGARDING DETENTION
v.	:	
	:	Judge Jill N. Parrish
SEZGIN BARAN KORKMAZ,	:	
Defendant.	:	

☐ The United States is not seeking detention.

☐ Detention is not at issue because this is an immigration reentry case where the defendant has opted to participate in the fast track program, which includes agreeing to detention for the pendency of this case.

☒ The United States moves for detention based on current information. The United States' positions in this preliminary pleading could change after reviewing the Pretrial Report or learning of additional evidence. The United States reserves the right to assert positions even if the boxes next to those positions are not checked below, raise additional arguments, and file additional pleadings in support of detention. The United States' motion for detention is:

☐ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) because defendant is charged with:

☐ (A) a crime of violence (*see* 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)), a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591 (sex trafficking of children), or an offense under § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (specific enumerated crimes) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**

- ☐ **(B)** an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death;
or
- ☐ **(C)** an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); **or**
- ☐ **(D)** any felony if the defendant has been convicted of two or more offenses described in (a) through (c) above, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in (a) through (c) above if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**
- ☐ **(E)** any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: **(i)** a minor victim; **(ii)** the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); **(iii)** any other dangerous weapon; or **(iv)** a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250;

OR

☒ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2) because the case involves:

- ☒ **(A)** a serious risk the defendant will flee; **or**
- ☒ **(B)** a serious risk the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, intimidate, attempt to threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or juror.

Procedure

The defendant may seek a continuance of the detention hearing of up to five days, and the United States may seek a continuance of up to three days. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). During any such continuance, the defendant shall be detained. *Id.* The rules concerning the admissibility of evidence do not apply at the detention hearing. *Id.* The United States has the burden of persuasion by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community or by a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. *Id.*; *United States v. Cisneros*, 328 F.3d 610, 616 (10th Cir. 2003).

Rebuttable Presumption

- ☐ A rebuttable presumption applies and the defendant bears the burden to produce some credible evidence to rebut this presumption. The United States acknowledges that it retains the burden of persuasion. The statutory presumption applies:
 - ☐ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (*previous violator*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because:
 - (A) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; **and**
 - (B) the defendant committed that offense while on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; **and**
 - (C) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for that, whichever is later.
 - ☐ Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (*narcotics, firearm, other offenses*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
 - ☐ (A) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 - ☐ (B) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
 - ☐ (C) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 or more is prescribed;
 - ☐ (D) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; **or**
 - ☐ (E) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

Factors to Be Considered

The United States may present arguments, proffer evidence, or provide testimony at the scheduled detention hearing supporting the detention of the defendant including, but not limited to:

- ☒ The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a violation of section 1591, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm or destructive device. (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(1)).
- ☒ The weight of evidence against the defendant. (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(2)).
- ☒ The history and characteristics of the defendant including the defendant's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history and record concerning court proceedings. (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A)).
- ☐ Whether, at time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, parole, or other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State, or local law. (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(B)).
- ☐ The nature and seriousness of danger to any person or to the community that would be posed by the defendant's release. (18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(4)).
- ☒ The defendant's lack of legal status in the United States. The defendant's legal status is:
 - ☐ How the defendant would be subject to removal or deportation after serving a period of incarceration.
 - ☒ The defendant's significant family or other ties outside of the United States.
 - ☐ The defendant's use of aliases or false documents.
 - ☐ The defendant's prior attempts to evade law enforcement.
 - ☐ How the defendant's proposed residence, employment, or proposed treatment programs have not been verified.
 - ☐ The defendant's prior failures to appear for court proceedings.
- ☒ Other reasons including: Korkmaz poses a serious risk of flight given his lack of ties to the United States, his previous flight from Turkey during this investigation, and his control over at least \$70 million dollars of fraud proceeds that were sent to him from the United States pursuant to the money laundering conspiracy charged in Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment. Given his control of these fraud proceeds, Korkmaz has every incentive to flee the United States, the financial means to do so, and to never return to the United States. Moreover, if Korkmaz were to flee to Turkey, where he is a citizen, and

where millions in fraud proceeds were sent, there is little likelihood and no guarantee that Turkey would extradite him to the United States. Based on evidence gathered during the investigation of *United States v. Jacob Kingston, Lev Aslan Dermen, a/k/a Levon Termendzhyan, et al.*, No. 2:18-CR-365-JNP, and the evidence adduced at Termendzhyan's seven-week trial, the United States estimates that Korkmaz still controls approximately \$100 million of the \$133 million in fraud proceeds that were originally sent to financial accounts that Korkmaz controlled in Luxembourg and Turkey (with the remaining funds controlled by Termendzhyan after a portion of the fraud proceeds were sent back to the United States to bank accounts in Los Angeles controlled by Termendzhyan). To date, the United States has recovered approximately only \$3.5 million of the fraud proceeds that were sent to Korkmaz offshore.

Victim Notification

- ☐ The United States has notified any identified victim, or attempted to do so, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3771.

The position of the victim(s) on the detention of the defendant is: n/a

- ☐ The victim(s) in this matter seek(s) a no contact order.
- ☒ This matter does not involve a victim requiring notification.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of July 2022.

TRINIA A. HIGGINS
United States Attorney

/s/ Richard M. Rolwing
RICHARD M. ROLWING
Special Assistant United States Attorney
JOHN E. SULLIVAN
Senior Litigation Counsel

Certificate of Service

I certify that on the 15th day of July 2022, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be filed through the CM/ECF electronic filing system, and I caused a copy to be emailed to all counsel of record.

/s/ John E. Sullivan

JOHN E. SULLIVAN

Senior Litigation Counsel